



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,873 11/15/2000		15/2000	Jason D. Kridner	T1-29077	9315	
•	7590	10/03/2005		EXAM	AMINER	
Robert D. Ma	ırshall			NALVEN, ANDREW L		
Texas Instrum	ents Incom	oorated				
P. O. Box 655474, M/S 3999				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas TX 75265			2134			

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/712,873	KRIDNER, JASON	KRIDNER, JASON D.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	T	
	Andrew L. Nalven	2134		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress	
HE REPLY FILED 29 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.		
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:	llowing replies: (1) an amen Notice of Appeal (with appea ppliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dment, affidavit, or other evic al fee) in compliance with 37	dence, which CFR 41.31	
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set		ver is later. Ir	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE		ED WITHIN	
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mone patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	statutory period for reply originally	set in the final Office action; or (2	2) as set forth	
OTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in coof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appe	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search elow);	(see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in tage appeal; and/or			ng the issue	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		of Non-Compliant Amendmen	nt (PTOL-3	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	 a) will not be entered, or rovided below or appended. 	b) will be entered and a	n explanati	

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

2.		Note	the attached	Information	Disclosure	Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08	or PTO-14	149) Paper	No(s).	
	_										

12.	. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). $_$	
13.	. 🔲 Other:	

Claim(s) allowed: _ Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: __

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments on pages 7-8 focus upon the alleged lack of disclosure in the Seo reference of a digital to analog converter directly receiving a disable signal. Examiner notes that in the final rejection mailed 29 June 2005, Examiner did not rely upon Seo to teach this feature. Thus, Applicant's argument is without merit. Examiner has relied upon the Nagata reference to teach a digital to analog converter directly receiving a disable signal (Nagata, column 4 lines 28-49, Figure 3, column 1 lines 51-61).

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100